SINGLE BENCH

(4)

(2)

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 622/2007

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

PETITIONER

Kumari Kamla Kerketta (B.Ed.), aged about 40 years, daughter of Shri Mathiyas Kerketta, Assistant Teacher, Government Tribal Girls Higher Secondary School – Kushmi, Distt. Surguja (CG)

F.R. No. 680/07 Presented by Shri P.K. Mayumdar 22/1/07 Fixed

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Assistant Commissioner, Deptt of Tribal Welfare, Surguja (CG)
3. Block Education Officer Kusmi, Distt. Surguja (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY 22/1/07 H.C. G. BILASPUR

XI-HC-78

(16)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) No. 622 **सन् 2007**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	1.2.2007 Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Mazumdar, counsel for the petitioners. Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 621 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Bablu

P.T.O.

(19)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 621 OF 2007

Petitioner : Chintamani Tiwari

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 622 OF 2007

Petitioner : Kumari Kamla Kerketta

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 623 OF 2007

Petitioner : S.R. Nirala

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 624 OF 2007

Petitioner : Nagesh Kumar Rathia

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Mazumdar, counsel for the petitioners.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the State/ respondents.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 1st February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Penal lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the

(26)

case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. For the aforementioned reasons, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact asto whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Bablu